UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,573	11/22/2003	Belle L. Chou	SHENW.PT4	3254	
	7590 11/16/200 AL PROPERTY LAW	EXAMINER			
12 SOUTH FIRST STREET SUITE 1205 SAN JOSE, CA 95113			VU, JAKE MINH		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/16/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/719,573	CHOU, BELLE L.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jake M. Vu	1618		

Jake	e M. Vu	1618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears o	n the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 October 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLI	CATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sa application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (wifer Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1. periods:	ame day as filing a Notice of <i>i</i> s: (1) an amendment, affidavi ith appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid aban t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ON MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). 	y Action, or (2) the date set forth an SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on whi have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensior under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	n and the corresponding amount oned statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pri (a) They raise new issues that would require further consider (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better for appeal; and/or	ration and/or search (see NOT	E below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corres NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Set 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowab 	·		
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) wi			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided In The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		r be entered and an ex	ріапацоп от
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but befo because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffi- was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Not entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overco showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	me all rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of th REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ne status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	s NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	e because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/13. ☐ Other:	SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Jake M. Vu/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1618	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the language of claim 1 does not state "no release", intead, the claimed language requires the second layer material NOT to have the agent from from the first layer, wherein USALA does not teach this limitation. The Examier finds this argument unpersuasive, because as discussed in the previous office aciton, USALA teaches multiple article may have differenct amount of the agent in each layer (see col. 4, line 20-21), wherein for a small group of people having allergic reaction to povidone iodine, the glove can be constructed with no release on the inner surface (see col. 4, line 54-57); thus, it would have been inherent to have zero amount in the the "no release" layer to prevent allergic reactions. Applicant argues that USALA does not teach that one of its layers closer to the skin is configured to resist penetration of the active agent. The Examiner finds this argument unpersuasive, because if the inner layer is not configured to resist penetration of the active agent, then there would an allergic reaction; thus, USALA inherently teaches to have the inner layer there to prevent allergic reaction.